

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,270	01/08/2004	Anatoly Feygenson	225265	6572
23460	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			SMITH, TRACI L	
	I STETSON AVENUE	2 4 3 0 0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		·	3629	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/753,270	FEYGENSON ET AL.			
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this account of the	Traci L. Smith	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ap</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 16-19 and 21-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 16-19 and 21-32 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  access Applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the con	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the telegraming(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) the drawing(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) the drawing(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) the drawing(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) the telegraming(s) is objected to by the telegraming(s) is objec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to papers filed on April 28, 2006.

Claim 16 has been amended.

Claims 21-32 have been added.

Claims 16-19 and 21-32 are pending.

Claims 16-19 and 21-32 are rejected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are directed to the identification of an "independent individual contractor". One skilled in the art would not reasonably convey that a potential candidate for an employer would be understood to be an "independent individual contractor". Applicant fails to disclose a contractor as a candidate therefore leaving the claim limitations narrower than that of the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

- 3. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,567,784 Bukow; Method and Apparatus for Matching Projects and Workers. Hereinafter referred to as Bukow.
- 4. As to claim 16 Bukow discloses:

Determining necessary tasks to be performed(C. 1 I. 33-37).

Submitting the need for someone to perform the certain tasks that are capable of being performed over the internet(C. 2 I. 60-65).

Choosing a candidate that meets the requirements of the tast(C. 3 I. 34-40).

Negotiating pay for the task(C. 5 I. 63-67).

Paying the candidate the negotiated price(C. 3 I. 34-40).

- 5. As to claim 17 Bukow teaches the applicants having certain credentials(C. 5 I. 20-29).
- 6. As to claim 18 Bukow teaches indirectly determined applicant pool(C. 8 I. 22-26).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3629

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 19 and 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,567,784 Bukow as applied to claims 16-18 above, and further in view of <a href="https://www.medquist.com">www.medquist.com</a>; retrieved from the wayback machine; any linkage June 8, 2003. Hereinafter referred to as Medquist.
- 10. As to claims 19 and 21 Bukow teaches

Determining necessary tasks to be performed(C. 1 l. 33-37).

Submitting the need for someone to perform the certain tasks that are capable of being performed over the internet(C. 2 I. 60-65).

Choosing a candidate that meets the requirements of the tast(C, 3 I, 34-40).

- 11. However, Bukow fails to teach receiving the complete work from the applicant and paying the applicant.
- 12. Medquist teaches receiving the completed work at the clinic(Pg. 18 ¶B)
- 13. Medquist further teaches paying the applicant for the amount of work completed.(Pg. 14 ¶G). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to pay the user for the work completed as this is a normal practice in any business

Art Unit: 3629

environment. If payments are being made for work done the work will cease to be complete.

- 14. As to claims 22-27 Bukow fails to teach the atomic unit of work being a reviewing a document for typographical error. Medquist the work being reviewing dictation with accuracy. The examiner notes the type of atomic work that is being performed or the information it is being performed on is non-functional descriptive data. The method of identifying a qualified candidate to perform the atomic unit would be performed the same regardless of the type of work being requested.
- 15. As to claims 28 and 29 Bukow teaches the specific criteria requested in order to perform the task being requested.(C. 2 I. 66-67) C. 3 I. 1-2). Again, the applicant notes that the type of criteria being requested is again non-functional descriptive material. It would be an obvious variant to use different criteria needed depending on the difference in tasks needed to be completed.
- 16. As to Claim 30 Bukow teaches criteria as certification levels(C. 3 I. 10-12).
- 17. As to claims 31-32 Bukow teaches criteria a level of previous experience.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-19 and 21-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 5

Art Unit: 3629

Page 6

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TLS** 

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

mel

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600